Kinetics and Thermodynamics of the Thermal Gas-phase Interconversion of Hexakis(pentafluoroethyl)benzene and its *para*-Bonded (Dewar) Isomer

By Abdul-Majeed Dabbagh, William T. Flowers, Robert N. Haszeldine,* and Peter J. Robinson (Chemistry Department, University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology, Manchester M60 1QD)

Summary Equilibrium and kinetic studies on the title reaction show that the two isomers (1) and (2) are interconverted by a reversible unimolecular reaction, and lead to values for the enthalpy and entropy differences of the two isomers and activation parameters for their interconversion.

HEXAKIS (PENTAFLUOROETHYL) BENZENE $(1)^1$ and its *para*bonded ('Dewar') isomer [hexakis(pentafluoroethyl)bicyclo[2,2,0]hexa-2,5-diene] (2)² form an unusual system in which the *para*-bonded isomer can be obtained by purely thermal reaction of the benzene, thus permitting accurate study of thermodynamic data *via* equilibrium measurements, and allowing direct measurement of activation parameters for the aromatic $\rightarrow para$ -bonded reaction as well as the more generally accessible reverse isomerisation.

Measurements were made in a conventional kinetic apparatus in which the gas-handling system was heated to 440 K to prevent condensation of the high-boiling reactants. Analysis was by direct gas-valve injection into a flameionisation gas-chromatograph (10.2 m SE 30 at 420 K with nitrogen carrier). At 453-602 K no compounds other than (1) and (2) were ever detected, and there was no pressure change during the reaction, thus confirming the stoicheiometry of equation (1).

TABLE 1. Energy parameters from kinetic and equilibrium studies.ª

 $\begin{array}{c} \Delta H^{\circ} \\ (=E_{1}-E_{-1}) & \Delta H^{\circ}/\mathrm{kJ} \ \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \\ /\mathrm{kJ} \ \mathrm{mol}^{-1} & \mathrm{from} \ K_{\mathrm{eq}} \end{array}$ Activation energy /kJ mol⁻¹ Reaction $186 \cdot 6 \pm 1 \cdot 1$ $(1) \rightarrow (2) \ (k_1)$ $34 \cdot 9 \pm 1 \cdot 9$ 37.6 ± 0.3 $(2) \rightarrow (1) (k_{-1})$ 151.7 ± 1.5

^a Error limits here and in Table 2 are standard deviations.

Equilibrium measurements at 453-602 K, with total pressures of 10-40 mmHg, † gave equilibrium constants $K_{eq} = (p_D/p_B)_{eq}$ where p_D and p_B are the partial pressures of (2) and (1) respectively which were constant at a given temperature and independent of the direction of approach to equilibrium. The plot of $\ln K_{eq}$ vs. 1/T was a good straight line and provided the enthalpy and entropy changes shown in the Tables. It is of interest to note that thermal equilibrium favours the para-bonded isomer at temperatures above 551 K.

 k_{-1} , obtained from $(k_1 + k_{-1})$ and K_{eq} , gave good Arrhenius plots corresponding to the parameters shown in the Tables (the entropies of activation are at 490 K, taking statistical factors as unity).



The kinetic and equilibrium data are in very satisfactory agreement. The enthalpy of reaction (aromatic $\rightarrow para$ bonded) may be compared with the values of $117 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ and ca. 240 kJ mol⁻¹ reported for the hexakis(trifluoromethyl)³ and hexamethyl⁴ derivatives respectively (entropy changes are not known for any system of this type other than the present one). The activation energies for the para-bonded \rightarrow aromatic conversion are very similar for these three systems, suggesting that the differences arise mainly from increasing destabilisation of the benzene itself in the series methyl, trifluoromethyl, pentafluoroethyl. Indeed, a model of (1) cannot be constructed with conventional bond angles and atomic radii, and it may be that (1) has a puckered ring with consequent loss of reson-

TABLE 2. Entropy parameters from kinetic and equilibrium studies.

1 60 /

ACT

ACIN

Reaction
$$\log_{10}(A/s^{-1})$$
 $\Delta S^{\ddagger}/J \ K^{-1} \ mol^{-1}$ $\Delta S^{\circ} (= \Delta S_{1}^{\ddagger} - \Delta S_{1}^{\ddagger})$ $\Delta S^{\circ}/J \ K^{-1} \ mol^{-1}$ (1) \rightarrow (2) (k_{1}) $16\cdot25 \pm 0\cdot12$ $53\cdot8 \pm 2\cdot4$ $/J \ K^{-1} \ mol^{-1}$ $from K_{eq}$ (2) \rightarrow (1) (k_{-1}) $12\cdot99 \pm 0\cdot16$ $-8\cdot7 \pm 3\cdot0$ $62\cdot6 \pm 3\cdot8$ $68\cdot2 \pm 0\cdot5$

Kinetic data were treated by plots of $\ln \{[(K_{eq} + 1)\theta_0$ $(K_{eq} + 1)\theta_i - 1$ vs. time, where $\theta = p_B/(p_B + 1)$ $p_{\rm D}$), for runs starting with the benzene or benzene-rich mixtures, or the corresponding variation for runs starting with (2); the slopes of these graphs gave $(k_1 + k_{-1})$. Good straight-line plots were obtained for kinetic experiments at 456-525 K, and at a given temperature the slopes were independent of total pressure in the range 7-62 mmHg, and were the same whether the initial reactant was (1) or (2) or a mixture of the two. The same slopes were also obtained in a packed vessel and in the presence of but-2-ene, confirming the homogeneous unimolecular nature of the processes involved. The individual rate-constants k_1 and

ance stabilisation energy. In the para-bonded isomers, steric strain between the substituent groups is reduced by the folding of the ring system across the central (bridge) bond; the positive entropy change for the conversion of (1)into (2) is consistent with increased rotational freedom in (2). The entropies of activation indicate that the transition state is similar to (2) rather than (1), *i.e.* probably folded to a similar extent. Concerted conversion of (1) and (2) is orbital-symmetry forbidden,⁵ which may account for the kinetic stability of the para-bonded isomers, and the reaction may thus involve a biradical intermediate or biradical-type transition state.

(Received, 13th January 1975; Com. 035.)

 $\dagger mmHg = 133.322$ Pa.

- ¹ W. T. Flowers, R. N. Haszeldine, and J. E. G. Kemp, Chem. Comm., 1969, 203. ² E. D. Clifton, W. T. Flowers, and R. N. Haszeldine, Chem. Comm., 1969, 1216.
- ⁸ D. E. Lemal and L. H. Dunlap, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 6562. ⁴ J. F. M. Oth, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1968, 7, 646; W. Adam and J. C. Chang, Internat. J. Chem. Kinetics, 1969, 1, 487. ⁵ E. E. Van Tamelen, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1965, 4, 214.